



Finite Time Blow Up of Coupled Nonlinear Viscoelastic Wave Equations with Distributed Delay and Strong Damping

Derradji Guidad¹
 Djamel Ouchenane²
 Khaled Zennir³

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.69717/ijams.v2.i2.147>

Abstract

In this work, we are concerned with a problem for a coupled non-linear viscoelastic wave equation with distributed delay, strong damping, and source terms. under suitable conditions, we prove the blow up result of solutions.

Keywords

Viscoelastic equation; Blow up; Strong damping; Distributed delay.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification

Primary 35B40 · Secondary 35L45, 93D15, 93D20 ·

1. Introduction

We consider the following initial-boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear viscoelastic wave equations with distributed delay and strong damping:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{tt} - \Delta u - \omega_1 \Delta u_t + \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds \\ \quad + \mu_1 u_t + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| u_t(x, t - \varrho) d\varrho = f_1(u, v), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v - \omega_2 \Delta v_t + \int_0^t h(t-s) \Delta v(s) ds \\ \quad + \mu_3 v_t + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| v_t(x, t - \varrho) d\varrho = f_2(u, v), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \\ u(x, t) = 0, \quad v(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \\ u_t(x, -t) = f_0(x, t), \quad v_t(x, -t) = k_0(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \tau_2) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), x \in \Omega \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), v_t(x, 0) = v_1(x), x \in \Omega, \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, University Mohamed Khider – Biskra, Algeria.

Email: guidadd07@gmail.com ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7895-4168>

²Laboratory of Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of Laghouat, Algeria.

Email: ouchenanedjamel@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-****-****

³Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Qassim University, Saudi Arabia.

Email: khaledzennir4@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-****-****

Communicated Editor: A. CHALA

Manuscript received January 27, 2024; revised October 09, 2025; accepted November 13, 2025; First published online December 09, 2025.

where

$$\begin{cases} f_1(u, v) = a_1|u + v|^{2(p+1)}(u + v) + b_1|u|^p|v|^{p+2} \\ f_2(u, v) = a_1|u + v|^{2(p+1)}(u + v) + b_1|v|^p|u|^{p+2}, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

and $\omega_1, \omega_2, \mu_1, \mu_3, a_1, b_1 > 0$, and τ_1, τ_2 are the time delays with $0 \leq \tau_1 < \tau_2$, and μ_2, μ_4 are L^∞ functions, and g, h are differentiable functions.

Viscous materials are the opposite of elastic materials, which possess the ability to store and dissipate mechanical energy. The mechanical properties of these viscous substances are of great importance, as they appear in many applications of the natural sciences. Many authors have paid attention to this problem since the beginning of the new millennium.

In the case of only one equation, and if $w_1 = 0$, that is, for the absence of Δu_t and $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$. Our problem (1) has been studied by [5]. By using the Galerkin method, they established the local existence result. Additionally, they showed that the local solution is global in time under suitable conditions, and with the same rate of decay (polynomial or exponential) of the kernel g . they proved that the dissipation given by the viscoelastic integral term is strong enough to stabilize the oscillations of the solution. Additionally, their result has been obtained under weaker conditions than those used by [8].

In [9], the authors consider the following problem

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + a(x)u_t + |u|^\gamma u = 0, \quad (3)$$

the authors proved the exponential decay result. This later result has been improved by [5], in which it was shown that the viscoelastic dissipation alone is strong enough to stabilize the problem even with an exponential rate.

In many works in this field, under assumptions of the kernel g . For the problem (1) and with $\mu_1 \neq 0$, for example in [12], the authors proved a blow up result for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + u_t = |u|^{p-2}u, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where g satisfies $\int_0^\infty g(s)ds < (2p-4)/(2p-3)$, the initial data were supported by negative energy like that $\int u_0 u_1 dx > 0$. If ($w > 0$). In [16], Song et al considered the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds - \Delta u_t = |u|^{p-2}u, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Under suitable assumptions on g , they showed that there were solutions of (5) with initial energy that blow up in finite time. For the same problem (5), in [17], Song et al proved that there were solutions of (5) with positive initial energy that blow up in finite time. In [18], the following problem is studied

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u - \omega \Delta u_t + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds \\ + a|u_t|^{m-2}u_t = |u|^{p-2}u, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) & x \in \Omega \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

the author proved the exponential growth result under suitable assumptions.

In [13] the authors considered the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta u(t-s)ds - \varepsilon_1 \Delta u_t + \varepsilon_2 u_t |u_t|^{m-2} = \varepsilon_3 u |u|^{p-2} \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

they showed a blow up result if $p > m$ and established global existence.

In the case of coupled equations, in [1], the authors studied the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t |u_t|^{m-2} = f_1(u, v) \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v + v_t |v_t|^{r-2} = f_2(u, v), \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

with nonlinear functions f_1 and f_2 satisfying appropriate conditions. Under certain restrictions imposed on the parameters and the initial data, they obtained numerous results on the existence of weak solutions. They also showed that any weak solution with negative initial energy blows up for a finite period of time by using the same techniques as in [2, 10, 11]. In [4], the authors considered the system:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + (a|u|^k + b|v|^l)u_t |u_t|^{m-2} = f_1(u, v) \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v + (a|u|^\theta + b|v|^\vartheta)v_t |v_t|^{r-2} = f_2(u, v), \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

they stated and proved that the blows occur in finite time for the solution, under some restrictions on the initial data and (with positive initial energy) for certain conditions on the functions f_1 and f_2 . In [15], the authors extended the result of [4] and considered the following nonlinear viscoelastic system:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta u(t-s)ds + (a|u|^k + b|v|^l)u_t |u_t|^{m-2} = f_1(u, v) \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v + \int_0^\infty h(s)\Delta v(t-s)ds + (a|u|^\theta + b|v|^\vartheta)v_t |v_t|^{r-2} = f_2(u, v), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

they proved that the solutions of a system of wave equations with a viscoelastic term, degenerate damping, and strong nonlinear sources acting in both equations simultaneously are globally nonexistent, provided that the initial data are sufficiently large in a bounded domain of Ω ; see [6, 7, 19].

As a complement to these works, we are working to prove the blow-up result with distributed delay of the problem (1), under appropriate assumptions, and we prove these results using the energy method. In the following, let $c, c_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, 12$.

We prove the blow-up result under the following suitable assumptions.

(A1) $g, h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a differentiable and decreasing function such that

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &\geq 0 \quad , \quad 1 - \int_0^\infty g(s) ds = l_1 > 0, \\ h(t) &\geq 0 \quad , \quad 1 - \int_0^\infty h(s) ds = l_2 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

(A2) There exists a constant $\xi_1, \xi_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g'(t) &\leq -\xi_1 g(t) \quad , \quad t \geq 0, \\ h'(t) &\leq -\xi_2 h(t) \quad , \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

(A3) $\mu_2, \mu_4 : [\tau_1, \tau_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are L^∞ functions such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2\delta-1}{2}\right) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| d\varrho &< \mu_1 \quad , \quad \delta > \frac{1}{2}, \\ \left(\frac{2\delta-1}{2}\right) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| d\varrho &< \mu_3 \quad , \quad \delta > \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

2. Blow up in finite time

In this subsection, we prove the blow up result of the solution to problem (1).

First, as in [14], we introduce the new variables

$$\begin{cases} y(x, \rho, \varrho, t) = u_t(x, t - \varrho\rho) \\ z(x, \rho, \varrho, t) = v_t(x, t - \varrho\rho), \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \varrho y_t(x, \rho, \varrho, t) + y_\rho(x, \rho, \varrho, t) = 0 \\ y(x, 0, \varrho, t) = u_t(x, t), \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \varrho z_t(x, \rho, \varrho, t) + z_\rho(x, \rho, \varrho, t) = 0 \\ z(x, 0, \varrho, t) = v_t(x, t), \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Let us denote by

$$gou = \int_\Omega \int_0^t g(t-s) |u(t) - u(s)|^2 ds dx. \quad (17)$$

Therefore, the problem (1) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u - \omega_1 \Delta u_t + \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds \\ \quad + \mu_1 u_t + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| y(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho = f_1(u, v), & x \in \Omega, t \geq 0 \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v - \omega_2 \Delta v_t + \int_0^t h(t-s) \Delta v(s) ds \\ \quad + \mu_3 v_t + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| z(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho = f_2(u, v), & x \in \Omega, t \geq 0 \\ \varrho y_t(x, \rho, \varrho, t) + y_\rho(x, \rho, \varrho, t) = 0 \\ \varrho z_t(x, \rho, \varrho, t) + z_\rho(x, \rho, \varrho, t) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(x, t) = 0, \quad v(x, t) = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega, \\ y(x, \rho, \varrho, 0) = f_0(x, \varrho\rho), \quad z(x, \rho, \varrho, 0) = k_0(x, \varrho\rho) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad v_t(x, 0) = v_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where

$$(x, \rho, \varrho, t) \in \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (\tau_1, \tau_2) \times (0, \infty).$$

Theorem 2.1. Assume (11), (12), and (13) hold. Let

$$\begin{cases} -1 < p < \frac{4-n}{n-2}, & n \geq 3 \\ p \geq -1, & n = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Then for any initial data

$$(u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1, f_0, k_0) \in \mathcal{H},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1) \times (\tau_1, \tau_2)) \\ & \times L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1) \times (\tau_1, \tau_2)). \end{aligned}$$

the problem (18) has a unique solution

$$u \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}),$$

for some $T > 0$.

Lemma 2.2. There exists a function $F(u, v)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, v) &= \frac{1}{2(\rho+2)} [uf_1(u, v) + vf_2(u, v)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\rho+2)} [a_1|u+v|^{2(p+2)} + 2b_1|uv|^{p+2}] \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u} = f_1(u, v), \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} = f_2(u, v).$$

we take $a_1 = b_1 = 1$ for convenience.

Lemma 2.3. [15] There exist two positive constants c_0 and c_1 such that

$$\frac{c_0}{2(\rho+2)} (|u|^{2(p+2)} + |v|^{2(p+2)}) \leq F(u, v) \leq \frac{c_1}{2(\rho+2)} (|u|^{2(p+2)} + |v|^{2(p+2)}). \quad (21)$$

We define the energy functional

Lemma 2.4. Assume (11), (12), (13), and (20) hold. Let (u, v, y, z) be a solution of (18); then $E(t)$ is non-increasing, that is

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} l_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} l_2 \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2} (h \circ \nabla v) + \frac{1}{2} K(y, z) - \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &\leq -c_3 \{ \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| y^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| z^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \} \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where

$$K(y, z) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \varrho \{ |\mu_2(\varrho)| y^2(x, \rho, \varrho, t) + |\mu_4(\varrho)| z^2(x, \rho, \varrho, t) \} d\varrho d\rho dx. \quad (24)$$

Proof. By multiplying (18)₁, (18)₂ by u_t, v_t and integrating over Ω , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} & \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} l_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} l_2 \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (h \circ \nabla v) - \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) dx \right\} \\ &= -\mu_1 \|u_t\|_2^2 - \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| y(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \\ & \quad - \mu_3 \|v_t\|_2^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_t \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| z(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u) - \frac{1}{2} g(t) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \omega_1 \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} (h' \circ \nabla v) - \frac{1}{2} h(t) \|\nabla v\|_2^2 - \omega_2 \|\nabla v_t\|_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and, from (18)₃, (18)₄, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \varrho |\mu_2(\varrho)| y^2(x, \rho, \varrho, t) d\varrho d\rho dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} 2|\mu_2(\varrho)| y y_{\rho} d\varrho d\rho dx \\
&= +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| y^2(x, 0, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| y^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| d\varrho \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| y^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \varrho |\mu_4(\varrho)| z^2(x, \rho, \varrho, t) d\varrho d\rho dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} 2|\mu_4(\varrho)| z z_{\rho} d\varrho d\rho dx \\
&= +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| z^2(x, 0, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| z^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| d\varrho \right) \|v_t\|_2^2 \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| z^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx,
\end{aligned}$$

then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} E(t) &= -\mu_1 \|u_t\|_2^2 - \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| u_t y(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx + \frac{1}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} g(t) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \omega_1 \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| d\varrho \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| y^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \\
&\quad -\mu_3 \|v_t\|_2^2 - \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| v_t z(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx + \frac{1}{2} (h' \circ \nabla v) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} h(t) \|\nabla v\|_2^2 - \omega_2 \|\nabla v_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| d\varrho \right) \|v_t\|_2^2 \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| z^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

By (25)-(26), we get (22). By using Young's inequality, (11), (12), and (13) in (26), we obtain (23). \square

Now we define the functional

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}(t) = -E(t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|v_t\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} l_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} l_2 \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u) - \frac{1}{2} (h \circ \nabla v) - \frac{1}{2} K(y, z) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2(p+2)} [\|u + v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + 2\|uv\|_{p+2}^{p+2}].
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Theorem 2.5. Assume (11)-(13), and (20) hold. Assume further that $E(0) < 0$; then the solution to problem (18) blows up in finite time.

Proof. From (22), we have

$$E(t) \leq E(0) \leq 0. \tag{28}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{H}'(t) = -E'(t) &\geq c_3(\|u_t\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| y^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \\ &\quad + \|v_t\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| z^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx),\end{aligned}\quad (29)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{H}'(t) &\geq c_3 \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| y^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \geq 0 \\ \mathbb{H}'(t) &\geq c_3 \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| z^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \geq 0,\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}0 \leq \mathbb{H}(0) \leq \mathbb{H}(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2(p+2)} [\|u + v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + 2\|uv\|_{p+2}^{p+2}] \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{2(p+2)} [\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)}].\end{aligned}\quad (31)$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}(t) &= \mathbb{H}^{1-\alpha} + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (uu_t + vv_t) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\mu_1 u^2 + \mu_3 v^2) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\omega_1 (\nabla u)^2 + \omega_2 (\nabla v)^2) dx,\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ will be assigned later and

$$0 < \alpha < \frac{2p+2}{4(p+2)} < 1. \quad (33)$$

By multiplying (18)₁, (18)₂ by u, v and taking the derivative of (32), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}'(t) &= (1-\alpha)\mathbb{H}^{-\alpha}\mathbb{H}'(t) + \varepsilon(\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2) - \varepsilon(\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(s) ds dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \int_0^t h(t-s) \nabla v(s) ds dx \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| uy(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| vz(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx \\ &\quad + \varepsilon[\|u + v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + 2\|uv\|_{p+2}^{p+2}].\end{aligned}\quad (34)$$

Using Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| uy(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx &\leq \varepsilon\{\delta_1 \left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| d\varrho \right) \|u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\delta_1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)| y^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx\},\end{aligned}\quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| vz(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx &\leq \varepsilon\{\delta_2 \left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| d\varrho \right) \|v\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\delta_2} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)| z^2(x, 1, \varrho, t) d\varrho dx\},\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

and, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon \int_0^t g(t-s) ds \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u(s) dx ds &= \varepsilon \int_0^t g(t-s) ds \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot (\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t)) dx ds \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^t g(s) ds \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t g(s) ds \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (go \nabla u),\end{aligned}\quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon \int_0^t h(t-s) ds \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla v(s) dx ds &= \varepsilon \int_0^t h(t-s) ds \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot (\nabla v(s) - \nabla v(t)) dx ds \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^t h(s) ds \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t h(s) ds \|\nabla v\|_2^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (ho \nabla v),\end{aligned}\quad (38)$$

we obtain, from (34),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}'(t) \geq & (1 - \alpha)\mathbb{H}^{-\alpha}\mathbb{H}'(t) + \varepsilon(\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|u_t\|_2^2) \\
& - \varepsilon\left((1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(s)ds)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + (1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t h(s)ds)\|\nabla v\|_2^2\right) \\
& - \varepsilon\delta_1\left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)|d\varrho\right)\|u\|_2^2 - \varepsilon\delta_2\left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)|d\varrho\right)\|v\|_2^2 \\
& - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(go\nabla u) - \frac{\varepsilon}{4\delta_1} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)|y^2(x, 1, \varrho, t)d\varrho dx \\
& - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(ho\nabla v) - \frac{\varepsilon}{4\delta_2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)|z^2(x, 1, \varrho, t)d\varrho dx \\
& + \varepsilon[\|u + v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + 2\|uv\|_{p+2}^{p+2}].
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Therefore, using (30) and by setting δ_1, δ_2 so that, $\frac{1}{4\delta_1 c_3} = \frac{\kappa\mathbb{H}^{-\alpha}(t)}{2}$,

and $\frac{1}{4\delta_2 c_3} = \frac{\kappa\mathbb{H}^{-\alpha}(t)}{2}$, substituting in (39), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}'(t) \geq & [(1 - \alpha) - \varepsilon\kappa]\mathbb{H}^{-\alpha}\mathbb{H}'(t) + \varepsilon(\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2) \\
& - \varepsilon\left[(1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(s)ds)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \varepsilon\left[(1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t h(s)ds)\|\nabla v\|_2^2\right.\right. \\
& - \varepsilon\frac{\mathbb{H}^\alpha(t)}{2c_3\kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)|d\varrho\right)\|u\|_2^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(go\nabla u) \\
& - \varepsilon\frac{\mathbb{H}^\alpha(t)}{2c_3\kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)|d\varrho\right)\|v\|_2^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(ho\nabla v) \\
& \left. + \varepsilon[\|u + v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + 2\|uv\|_{p+2}^{p+2}]\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

For $0 < a < 1$, from (27)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon[\|u + v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + 2\|uv\|_{p+2}^{p+2}] & = \varepsilon a[\|u + v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + 2\|uv\|_{p+2}^{p+2}] \\
& + \varepsilon 2(p+2)(1-a)\mathbb{H}(t) \\
& + \varepsilon(p+2)(1-a)(\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2) \\
& + \varepsilon(p+2)(1-a)\left(1 - \int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
& + \varepsilon(p+2)(1-a)\left(1 - \int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\|\nabla v\|_2^2 \\
& - \varepsilon(p+2)(1-a)(go\nabla u) \\
& - \varepsilon(p+2)(1-a)(ho\nabla v) \\
& + \varepsilon(p+2)(1-a)K(y, z).
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Substituting in (40), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}'(t) \geq & [(1 - \alpha) - \varepsilon\kappa]\mathbb{H}^{-\alpha}\mathbb{H}'(t) + \varepsilon[(p+2)(1-a) + 1]\left(\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2\right) \\
& + \varepsilon[(p+2)(1-a)\left(1 - \int_0^t g(s)ds\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(s)ds\right)]\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
& + \varepsilon[(p+2)(1-a)\left(1 - \int_0^t h(s)ds\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t h(s)ds\right)]\|\nabla v\|_2^2 \\
& - \varepsilon\frac{\mathbb{H}^\alpha(t)}{2c_3\kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)|d\varrho\right)\|u\|_2^2 - \varepsilon\frac{\mathbb{H}^\alpha(t)}{2c_3\kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)|d\varrho\right)\|v\|_2^2 \\
& + \varepsilon(p+2)(1-a)K(y, z) + \varepsilon[(p+2)(1-a) - \frac{1}{2}](go\nabla u + ho\nabla v) \\
& + \varepsilon a[\|u + v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + 2\|uv\|_{p+2}^{p+2}] + \varepsilon 2(p+2)(1-a)\mathbb{H}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Since (20) hold, we obtain by using (31) and (33)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}^\alpha(t)\|u\|_2^2 & \leq c_4(\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2\alpha(p+2)+2} + \|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2\alpha(p+2)}\|u\|_2^2), \\
\mathbb{H}^\alpha(t)\|v\|_2^2 & \leq c_5(\|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2\alpha(p+2)+2} + \|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2\alpha(p+2)}\|v\|_2^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

for some positive constants c_4, c_5 . By using (33) and the algebraic inequality

$$B^\theta \leq (B+1) \leq (1 + \frac{1}{b})(B+b), \quad \forall B > 0, \quad 0 < \theta < 1, \quad b > 0.$$

We have, $\forall t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2\alpha(p+2)+2} &\leq d(\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \mathbb{H}(0)) \leq d(\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \mathbb{H}(t)), \\ \|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2\alpha(p+2)+2} &\leq d(\|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \mathbb{H}(t)) \leq d(\|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \mathbb{H}(t)),\end{aligned}\quad (44)$$

where $d = 1 + \frac{1}{\mathbb{H}(0)}$. Also, since

$$(X + Y)^\gamma \leq C(X^\gamma + Y^\gamma), \quad X, Y > 0, \quad \gamma > 0. \quad (45)$$

We conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2\alpha(p+2)} \|u\|_2^2 &\leq c_6(\|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|u\|_2^{2(p+2)}) \leq c_7(\|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)}), \\ \|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2\alpha(p+2)} \|v\|_2^2 &\leq c_8(\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|v\|_2^{2(p+2)}) \leq c_9(\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)}).\end{aligned}\quad (46)$$

Substituting (44) and (46) in (43), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{H}^\alpha(t) \|u\|_2^2 &\leq c_{10}(\|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)}) + c_{10}\mathbb{H}(t), \\ \mathbb{H}^\alpha(t) \|v\|_2^2 &\leq c_{11}(\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)}) + c_{11}\mathbb{H}(t).\end{aligned}\quad (47)$$

Combining (42) and (47), using (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}'(t) &\geq [(1-\alpha) - \varepsilon\kappa]\mathbb{H}^{-\alpha}\mathbb{H}'(t) + \varepsilon[(p+2)(1-a) + 1](\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon\{[(p+2)(1-a) - 1] - (\int_0^t g(s)ds)[(p+2)(1-a) - \frac{1}{2}]\}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon\{[(p+2)(1-a) - 1] - (\int_0^t h(s)ds)[(p+2)(1-a) - \frac{1}{2}]\}\|\nabla v\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon(p+2)(1-a)K(y, z) + \varepsilon[(p+2)(1-a) - \frac{1}{2}](go\nabla u + ho\nabla v) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon(c_0a - \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2c_3\kappa})(\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)}) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon(2(p+2)(1-a) - \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2c_3\kappa})\mathbb{H}(t),\end{aligned}\quad (48)$$

where $\lambda_1 = c_{10} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_2(\varrho)|d\varrho$, $\lambda_2 = c_{11} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\mu_4(\varrho)|d\varrho$.

In this stage, we take $a > 0$ small enough so that

$$\alpha_1 = (p+2)(1-a) - 1 > 0,$$

and we assume

$$\max\{\int_0^\infty g(s)ds, \int_0^\infty h(s)ds\} < \frac{(p+2)(1-a) - 1}{((p+2)(1-a) - \frac{1}{2})} = \frac{2\alpha_1}{2\alpha_1 + 1}, \quad (49)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_2 &= \{(p+2)(1-a) - 1\} - \int_0^t g(s)ds((p+2)(1-a) - \frac{1}{2}) > 0, \\ \alpha_3 &= \{(p+2)(1-a) - 1\} - \int_0^t h(s)ds((p+2)(1-a) - \frac{1}{2}) > 0,\end{aligned}$$

then we choose κ so large that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_4 &= ac_0 - \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2c_3\kappa} > 0, \\ \alpha_5 &= 2(p+2)(1-a) - \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2c_3\kappa} > 0.\end{aligned}$$

We fixed κ and a ; we appointed ε small enough so that

$$\alpha_6 = (1-\alpha) - \varepsilon\kappa > 0.$$

Thus, for some $\beta > 0$, estimate (48) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}'(t) &\geq \beta\{\mathbb{H}(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + (go\nabla u) + (ho\nabla v) + K(y, z) \\ &\quad + [\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)}]\}.\end{aligned}\quad (50)$$

By (21), for some $\beta_1 > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}'(t) \geq & \beta_1 \{ \mathbb{H}(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \\ & + (go\nabla u) + (ho\nabla v) + K(y, z) \\ & + [\|u + v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + 2\|uv\|_{p+2}^{p+2}] \},\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(t) \geq \mathcal{K}(0) > 0, \quad t > 0. \quad (52)$$

Next, using Holder's and Young's inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\int_{\Omega} (uu_t + vv_t) dx|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \geq & C[\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{\frac{\theta}{1-\alpha}} + \|u_t\|_2^{\frac{\mu}{1-\alpha}} \\ & + \|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{\frac{\theta}{1-\alpha}} + \|v_t\|_2^{\frac{\mu}{1-\alpha}}],\end{aligned}\quad (53)$$

where $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\theta} = 1$.

We take $\theta = 2(1 - \alpha)$, to get

$$\frac{\mu}{1 - \alpha} = \frac{2}{1 - 2\alpha} \leq 2(p + 2).$$

Subsequently, for $s = \frac{2}{(1-2\alpha)}$ and by using (27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{\frac{2}{1-2\alpha}} & \leq d(\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \mathbb{H}(t)), \\ \|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{\frac{2}{1-2\alpha}} & \leq d(\|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \mathbb{H}(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (uu_t + vv_t) dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \geq c_{12} [\|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2 + \mathbb{H}(t)]. \quad (54)$$

Subsequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(t) & = (\mathbb{H}^{1-\alpha} + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (uu_t + vv_t) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\mu_1 u^2 + \mu_3 v^2) dx \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\omega_1 \nabla u^2 + \omega_2 \nabla v^2) dx)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \\ & \leq c \{ \mathbb{H}(t) + |\int_{\Omega} (uu_t + vv_t) dx|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} + \|u\|_2^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} + \|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad + \|v\|_2^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} + \|\nabla v\|_2^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} \} \\ & \leq c [\mathbb{H}(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + (go\nabla u) \\ & \quad + (ho\nabla v) + \|u\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)} + \|v\|_{2(p+2)}^{2(p+2)}].\end{aligned}\quad (55)$$

From (50) and (55), it gives

$$\mathcal{K}'(t) \geq \lambda \mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(t), \quad (56)$$

where $\lambda > 0$, this depends only on β and c .

by integrating (56), we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(t) \geq \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}^{\frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(0) - \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} t}.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{K}(t)$ blows up in time

$$T \leq T^* = \frac{1 - \alpha}{\lambda \alpha \mathcal{K}^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}(0)}.$$

Then the proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the referee for many valuable comments and suggestions.

Declarations

The author declares that he has no conflicts of interest. Authors declare that there is no funding available for this article. The authors equally conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination, drafted the manuscript, participated in the sequence alignment, and read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] Agre, K., & Rammaha, M. A. (2007). Systems of nonlinear wave equations with damping and source terms. *Differential and Integral Equations*, 19, 1235–1270. doi:10.57262/die/1356050301
- [2] Aljurbua, S. F., Bchatnia, A., Beniani, A., & Safsaf, A. (2025). Blow-up for coupled nonlinear wave equations with fractional damping and logarithmic source terms. *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 44, 400.
- [3] Ball, J. M. (1977). Remarks on blow-up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations. *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 28, 473–486.
- [4] Benaissa, A., Ouchenane, D., & Zennir, Kh. (2012). Blow up of positive initial-energy solutions to systems of nonlinear wave equations with degenerate damping and source terms. *Nonlinear Studies*, 19(4), 523–535.
- [5] Berrimi, S., & Messaoudi, S. A. (2006). Existence and decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation with a nonlinear source. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 64, 2314–2331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2005.08.015>
- [6] Braik, A., Miloudi, Y., & Zennir, Kh. (2018). A finite-time blow-up result for solutions with positive initial energy for a coupled system of heat equations with memories. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 41(4), 1674–1682.
- [7] Bouzettout, L., Zitouni, S., Zennir, Kh., & Guesmia, A. (2017). Stability of Bresse system with internal distributed delay. *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 7(1), 92–118.
- [8] Cavalcanti, M. M., Cavalcanti, D., & Ferreira, J. (2001). Existence and uniform decay for nonlinear viscoelastic equations with strong damping. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 24, 1043–1053. doi:10.1002/mma.250
- [9] Cavalcanti, M. M., Cavalcanti, D., Filho, P. J. S., & Soriano, J. A. (2001). Existence and decay rates for viscoelastic problems with nonlinear boundary damping. *Differential and Integral Equations*, 14, 85–116. doi:10.57262/die/1356123377
- [10] Georgiev, V., & Todorova, G. (1994). Existence of a solution of the wave equation with nonlinear damping and source term. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 109, 295–308. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1994.1051>
- [11] Guidad, D., & Bouhali, K. (2025). Upper bound of the blowing-up time of κ -th order solution for nonlinear wave equation with averaged damping in \mathbb{R}^n . *Mathematical Structures and Computational Modeling*, 1, 4–11.
- [12] Kafini, M., & Messaoudi, S. A. (2008). A blow-up result in a Cauchy viscoelastic problem. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 21, 549–553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2007.07.004>
- [13] Liang, G., Zhaoqin, Y., & Guonguang, L. (2015). Blow up and global existence for a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation with strong damping and nonlinear damping and source terms. *Applied Mathematics*, 6, 806–816. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/am.2015.65076>
- [14] Nicaise, S., & Pignotti, C. (2008). Stabilization of the wave equation with boundary or internal distributed delay. *Differential and Integral Equations*, 21(9–10), 935–958. doi:10.57262/die/1356038593
- [15] Ouchenane, D., Zennir, Kh., & Bayoud, M. (2013). Global nonexistence of solutions for a system of nonlinear viscoelastic wave equations with degenerate damping and source terms. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 65(7), 723–739. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-013-0809-3>
- [16] Song, H. T., & Xue, D. S. (2014). Blow up in a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation with strong damping. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 109, 245–251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2014.06.012>
- [17] Song, H. T., & Zhong, C. K. (2010). Blow-up of solutions of a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 11, 3877–3883. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2010.02.015>
- [18] Zennir, Kh. (2013). Exponential growth of solutions with L^p -norm of a nonlinear viscoelastic hyperbolic equation. *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, 6, 252–262.
- [19] Zhang, H., & Chai, X. (2025). Blow up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear wave equation with nonlinear dissipation of cubic convolution type. *Journal of Time Scales Analysis*, 1(2), 11–28.